

|   |                    |                |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.    | Applicant(s)   |
|   | 08/253,973         | MCBRIDE ET AL. |
|   | Examiner           | Art Unit       |
|   | Michael G. Hartley | 1618           |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Michael G. Hartley

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Fred Rabin (Appl. repr.)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 October 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*2-8 and 10*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed the adverse decision from the interference from the Board and informed appl. repr. that there are some claims still pending that did not correspond to the count. Appl. repr. suggested an office action to set forth the current status of these claims.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)